From:

Jill Dedic <jdedic@me.com>

Sent:

Monday, May 24, 2021 11:08 PM

To: Subject:

Eddie Sleeper

RE: House Bill 4277

Dear Representative Sleeper and Members of the House Commerce and Tourism Committee,

We oppose HB 4277. We live in Cobmoosa Shores a Private Residential Association located on Lake Michigan, with 209 property owners, 10 miles south of Silver Lake Sand Dunes. Our Association owners have Property Restriction Set Forth in the Original Conveyancing Deed, which states, "no part of said premise shall be used for Commercial purpose". The passing of this bill would eliminate our Conveyancing Deed Restrictions and any legal action against property owners who violate these Deed Restrictions, resulting in changing the dynamic of our neighborhood with mini hotels next door, which puts our residence safety at risk! We have petitions signed from 80-90 property owners, who oppose short term rentals (STR) and Commercial rentals in our Private Association community. This law does not just impact zoning ordinances which can be enacted after purchase, this law guts our Conveyancing Deed restriction that are known at time of purchase.

I urge you to reconsider what this means to so many year around residents and families in our Private Association and our safety. We have been dealing with owners purchasing property solely for Commercial Business for several years and need our Property Conveyancing Deed Restrictions to enforce. We want this to remain an owner occupied and safe Private Association. Also, any local commercially owned small hotel or B&B business would have a decrease in revenue due to the number of beds available in our resort area as a direct result of this legislation. Population of Oceana County is 26,497. Many townships within our county already have short-term rental zoning policies in place, to address STR.

Thank you,

Jill and Ed Dedic jdedic@me.com ededic@me.com



Dear Legislators,

My name is Veronica Paiz, and I am a Council member from the City of Harper Woods.

I am here to tell you that our City is in opposition to these short-term rental bills, one of which is sponsored by our city resident and House District 1 Representative Yancey.

Our main concern is that these bills strip our Council and municipal government of our responsibilities to be accountable to our residents -- to act in their best interests and concerns as they would like us to represent them in matters related to their homes and neighborhoods.

Beyond that, our city strives to retain our community character, which is already difficult considering approximately 40% of our housing are rental properties. Unregulated short-term housing adds to the instability of our neighborhoods and weakens our residents' sense of pride and investment in their homes and communities *and* erodes their sense of trust and safety.

With our city being only 2-1/4 miles square and mostly residential housing, the only economies helped are those of homeowners who do not live in our city.

While we are not opposed to short-term rentals in concept, we strongly believe we have both the right and responsibility to regulate standards as we would with any other residence and respond to our residents' concerns with something more meaningful than a shrug of our shoulders.

Thank you for your time.

~~ Veronica

Veronica Paiz, Harper Woods City Council, VPaiz@harperwoods.net

From:

barbie <barbkarl@charter.net>

Sent:

Monday, May 24, 2021 10:05 AM

To:

ofcsc@senate.michigan.gov; Rep. Sara Cambensy (District 109); Rep. Sarah Lightner

(District 65); Rep. Jim Lilly (District 89); Eddie Sleeper

Subject:

FW: HB 4722 and SB 0446

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From:

Sent: Monday, May 24, 2021 9:57 AM

To: ofcsc@senate.michigan.gov; sarahlightner@house.mi.gov; jimlilly@house.mi.gov; esleeper@house.mi.gov; comm@listserver.legislature.mi.gov; hrep-comm@listserver.legiature.mi.gov; barbkarl@azulstar.com; barbie

Subject: HB 4722 and SB 0446

Dear Senators and Representatives, H8 4722 and SB 0446 is about property rights and when there are problems cities can use police powers against nuisances.

We are 80 years old.

We live in Grand Haven.

We understand property rights.

We grew up with fathers who had a right to make money and start a business.

We have property rights too.

We purchased a home in a stable neighborhood.

Today, our small downtown Grand Haven neighborhood is made up of 30% registered short term rentals, plus those that are unregistered.

We are not against people owning second homes.

The fact is INVESTORS and NOT MA AND POPS, are buying up homes.

There is a Real connection between Short Term Rentals ownership

and the Lack of Affordable Housing for singles and families. We are not against all renters and regret singles and families

cannot find long term rentals.

We are Not putting in place exclusionary zoning to keep people out.

Like you we have rented, both short term and long term.

However, the explosion of Airbnb etc. has dramatically changed the

dynamics of that experience in our neighborhood.

Relying on the police to address any problems is illogical.

Many politicians are against Big Government, and yet that is what H8 4722 and S8 0446 means.

Big Government stripping away cities rights to

regulate what matters in their community. Arizona and Florida implemented

similar bills to remove local authority and it is a dreadful failure.

Grand Haven has a history of tourism, as do many other coastal towns, so we know tourism and commerce very well.

These 2 bills reflect the will, the money and the power of the Michigan Realtors Association, lobbyists,

Airbnb, absentee landlords and property management companies to exploit our neighborhoods.

A short term rental is a commercial activity.

Our small area has 77 registered STR.

It would be far better to let cities continue local problem solving rather than rules from the State.

Trust us.

It boils down to the value of local control versus exploitation in the name of profit.

Please include this correspondence in the official House and Senate Committee Record May 25th, 2021 session for HB 4722 and SB 0446.

Thank you Barb and Karl Rowe 215 South Fourth St. Grand Haven 49417 barbkarl@charter.net

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

Village of Mackinaw City

102 South Huron Avenue, P.O. Box 580, Mackinaw City, Michigan 49701
Telephone: (231) 436-5351 Fax: (231) 436-4166
www.mackinawcity.org village@mackinawcity.org

VILLAGE OF MACKINAW CITY RESOLUTION OPPOSING HOUSE BILL 4722 THAT WOULD ELIMINATE THE ABILITY OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT TO REGULATE THE SHORT-TERM RENTAL OF RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES

WHEREAS, the Village of Mackinaw City is a resort and tourism-based economy that provides a range of lodging options for visitors; and

WHEREAS, the Village of Mackinaw City works to protect and enhance its residential neighborhoods while balancing the needs and wants of visitors; and

WHEREAS, the Village Council has adopted an ordinance regulating short-term rentals in 2017 to protect the interests and quality of life for residents and businesses; and WHEREAS, the Village of Mackinaw City believes that local governments are best positioned to know the unique needs of a community and determine the delicate balance between residential and commercial uses, and between residents and investment property owners; and

WHEREAS, House Bill 4722 would eliminate the ability of local governments to regulate short-term rentals in residential neighborhoods:

NOW, THERFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Mackinaw City Village Council opposes House Bill 4722 and urges its representatives to do the same to protect our local communities.

| YEAS: Trustee(s) | T. Chastain, J. Lemanski, G. Ranville, K. Paquet, S. Newman |
|---------------------|---|
| NAYS: Trustee(s) | None |
| ABSTAIN: Trustee(s) | E. Lieghio |
| ABSENT: Trustee(s) | P. Michalak |
| / . / | |

CERTIFICATION

I certify that this is a true and complete copy of a resolution adopted at a regular meeting of the Village Council of the Village of Mackinaw City held on May 20, 2021.

Date: May 20, 2021

ana Jaggil Village Clerk



From:

Thomas Crum <tomcrum1956@gmail.com>

Sent:

Sunday, May 23, 2021 12:06 PM

To:

Rep. Steve Marino (District 24); Rep. John R. Roth (District 104); Rep. Diana Farrington (District 30); Rep. Rodney Wakeman (District 94); Rep. Pauline Wendzel (District 79); Rep. David W. Martin (District 48); Rep. Sara Cambensy (District 109); Rep. John D. Cherry (District 49); Rep. Mari Manoogian (District 40); Rep. Karen Whitsett (District 9); Rep.

Tullio Liberati (District 13); Eddie Sleeper

Subject:

Re: HB 4277

Sent from my iPhone

> On May 16, 2021, at 12:31 PM, Thomas Crum <tomcrum1956@gmail.com> wrote:

>

- > We are not in favor of HB 4277. Leave local control of land use to our local officials and city tax payers. We know how to regulate our communities.
- > Do not vote to approve HB 4277.

>

- > Tom and Cheri Crum
- > Grand Haven, MI

> >

>

> Sent from my iPhone

| n) | | |
|----|--|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

CITY OF BRIDGMAN COUNTY OF BERRIEN - STATE OF MICHIGAN RESOLUTION NO. 2021-06

A RESOLUTION OF THE BRIDGMAN CITY COUNCIL OPPOSING MICHIGAN HOUSE BILL 4722 REGARDING SHORT-TERM RENTALS

At a Regular Meeting of the City Council of the City of Bridgman, County of Berrien, State of Michigan, held in person at City Hall, 9765 Maple Street and remotely via Zoom, on the 17th day of May, A.D., 2021, at 6:30 p.m. Eastern Standard time.

PRESENT: Pam Hahaj, Stacy Stine, John Bonkoske, Sam Stine, Vince Rose, Jan Trapani

ABSENT: Georgia Gipson

The following preamble and resolution were offered by Councilmember Trapani and supported by Councilmember Bonkoske:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the City of Bridgman (hereinafter the "City") believes that local units of government such as the City Council are specifically empowered by statute to study, understand, and adapt to the unique needs of the populations that they serve and represent, and

WHEREAS, over the course of several decades, the City Council and the City Planning Commission have carefully reviewed and considered the delicate balance between residential and commercial areas in the City, and between the needs of local residents, investment property owners, and transient/seasonal vacation uses, and

WHEREAS, the City provides a spacious lakefront municipal campground and cabins at Weko Beach Campground to accommodate vacationers and those wishing to spend time in Bridgman on a seasonal or short-term basis, and

WHEREAS, the City has determined that one of the most urgent needs in the Greater Bridgman area is that of affordable residential housing for local citizens who work and educate their children in the Greater Bridgman area, and

WHEREAS, Berrien County recently undertook a study of housing availability in the County, and it has been determined that affordable, stable housing for local residents who seek to live and work in the area is greatly lacking, evidencing that the lack of

of 2006, as amended, and its predecessor statute, including the ability to regulate short-term rentals, as short-term rentals in purely residential districts severely impact the character of those residential neighborhoods and the availability of long-term housing for residents, and

WHEREAS, Michigan House Bill 4722 ("HB 4722") is a glaring overstep by the Michigan Legislature in that it completely strips away both zoning and police powers from local units of government, and

WHEREAS, HB 4722 blatantly ignores the will of the citizens of Bridgman and other local units of government by choosing to cater to the special financial interests of those wishing to vacation temporarily in the City and other areas of Michigan, to the detriment of those citizens who actually live and work here and require adequate housing in order to do so, and

WHEREAS, HB 4722 recklessly and carelessly puts the "wants" of special interest groups like investors and transient vacationers over the absolute need for local residents to have affordable, stable long-term housing, and

WHEREAS, Michigan House Bill 4722 falls woefully short of protecting the "health, safety, and welfare" of individual citizens in Michigan, and specifically the residents of the City of Bridgman, and instead protects and caters to special interests and those who seek to profit at the expense of Michigan residents who desperately need to secure affordable housing near their places of employment.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the City Council for the City of Bridgman adamantly opposes Michigan House Bill 4722 and denounces it in its entirety for all of the reasons stated herein, and the City strongly cautions against any legislative action that overrides or erodes local government control over zoning and land use decisions in favor of special financial interests and those who do not live, work, and/or vote in our local communities.

AYES: Sam Stine, Hahaj, Stacy Stine, Rose, Trapani, Bonkoske

NAYS: None ABSTAIN: None ABSENT: Gipson

RESOLUTION DECLARED ADOPTED.

Allyson (Holm ALLYSON HOLM, City Clerk

From: Victoria Turbov <vaturbov@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 19, 2021 1:12 PM

To: Rep. Steve Marino (District 24); Rep. John R. Roth (District 104); Rep. Diana Farrington

(District 30); Rep. Rodney Wakeman (District 94); Rep. Pauline Wendzel (District 79); Rep. David W. Martin (District 48); Rep. Sara Cambensy (District 109); Rep. John D. Cherry (District 49); Rep. Mari Manoogian (District 40); Rep. Karen Whitsett (District 9); Rep.

Tullio Liberati (District 13); Eddie Sleeper

Cc: Laurie Honor; Marci Hayes; Lois Schwartz; Mindy Turbov

Subject: Objection to House Bills on short-term rentals.

I am strongly urging you not to vote for the STR bills. I have lived across the street from one of these rental properties. I spent a great deal of money buying and restoring a vintage home only to find that I was living across the street from a house with a constant transient population that often included five to six cars parked in front. garbage cans overflowing with beer bottles, and all night, loud parties.

People on vacation do not have the interests as homeowners dedicated to their homes and bringing up their children in a safe, residential community. There is a place for people on vacation. These places should include the maintenance and security staff of a resort or supervision of a bed and breakfast.

Please do not sell out Michigan homeowners, so that a few can make a profit on those who have worked hard to contribute to stable, secure neighborhoods for their families. Michigan can have tourism without destroying the rights of homeowners.

Victoria Turbov

From:

DENNIS&JANET HOLLINGSWORTH < janden5@comcast.net>

Sent:

Friday, May 21, 2021 6:15 PM

To:

Eddie Sleeper

Subject:

4722

This bill will continue to allow alot of tourism to Beautiful Sister Lakes and the whole State. Please pass it. Cable Lake
Jan Hollingsworth