

Angie Lake

From: GUY COWING <guycowing@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2019 12:16 PM
To: Angie Lake
Subject: Fwd: HB 4095 (2019)

Hello Ms. Angie Lake:

For your information, below is a copy of the email I sent to the sponsors and committee members regarding HB 4095.

Guy Cowing

This note is let you know my concern with HB 4095 that increases the occupancy limit for a child care institution from 6 to 10 and located on a minimum parcel size of 20 acres. This facilitates organizations to place these institutions in rural areas that normally have a short fall in infrastructure and adds to the burden of that infrastructure while preempting local government. The bill would allow organizations to purchase large parcels with the intent to divide their acreage into numerous 20 acre parcels and house occupants far above the proposed limit of 10 in a particular community. Also, Governor Snyder vetoed a similar bill last year on the basis that increasing the occupancy limit above 6 or fewer only serves to dilute the caring attention each foster child deserves.

Please amend the bill to limit the occupancy to 6 or fewer and to prohibit the circumvention of the limit by subdividing larger acreages into various 20 acre parcels.

Thank you for considering my concern.

Guy Cowing

Holly, Michigan

Angie Lake

From: Ina Golden <inajgolden@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 11, 2019 9:08 PM
Cc: Angie Lake
Subject: Oppose HB 4095

Hello,

I am writing to oppose HB 4095 for the following reasons:

1. Governor Snyder vetoed the bill last December citing 10 teenagers per house is too many; the law now allows for six or fewer. In his veto, Governor Snyder wrote that the critical needs of fostered youth must be "balanced with the ability to effectively provide them with the precious care that they deserve." He stated his belief that increasing the cap on foster children in a home from 6 to 10 compromised that balance and would ultimately negatively impact the children that the bill intended to help.
2. This bill preempts local authority; it strips local governmental units of their ability to write their own ordinances regarding residential facilities. There is a process in place to seek a variance with the local unit of government to ensure that there is no conflict with local codes or planning.

Ina J. Golden
11705 Hidden Valley Trail
Holly, MI 48442
inajgolden@hotmail.com

Angie Lake

From: Ina Golden <inajgolden@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 11, 2019 12:54 PM
To: Angie Lake
Subject: March 13 and citizen input

Ms. Lake,

A committee meeting will be held this Wednesday at 12 noon and I am very interested in it. Can citizens attend? Will there be time to give input? Will HB 4095 go to a vote or will there be other committee meetings before the vote?

Thank you for the information,

Ina Golden

Rose Township Resident

Angie Lake

From: Margaret Culver <margaretculver@msn.com>
Sent: Monday, March 11, 2019 9:52 AM
To: Angie Lake
Subject: No on HB4095

Please vote No on HB4095 Wednesday 3/15. I had previously sent you an email outlining my reasons for voting No. Thank you for your kind consideration.

Margaret Culver

Angie Lake

From: ginny benson <sassafrasenator@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 11, 2019 9:45 AM
To: Rep. James Lower (District 70); Angie Lake; Rep. Kathy Crawford (District 38); Rep. Gary Howell (District 82); Rep. Jim Ellison (District 26); Rep. Padma Kuppa (District 41); Rep. Steve Marino (District 24); Rep. Julie Calley (District 87); Rep. Gary Eisen (District 81); Rep. Luke Meerman (District 88); Rep. Brad Paquette (District 78); Rep. William Sowerby (District 31); Rep. Alex Garza (District 12); Rep. Kara Hope (District 67)
Subject: Bill 4095

Dear Congressman/Congresswoman,

HB 4095 is back in your Committee this Wednesday and from the questions you asked at first reading, I'm guessing that you are seeing this bill for what it really is: an attempt of one licensed institution, to avoid local zoning and ordinance issues, by pretending to be just a "foster group home". The problem House of Providence has, is that they would only be allowed 6 residents per building under the "group home" designation, so in order to make that extra \$1000 + dollars per day, per building, they need you, to take away local control from townships across Michigan, just to serve themselves. That's really what this is about.

There's no research to suggest that kids do better having 10 kids packed into each building, and at their location in my Oxford neighborhood, House of Providence (HOP) plans to build at least 3 of these institutional buildings, on a farm property they bought two years ago. They have already built one building under the ruse of a 'single family residence'. The building has no garage, no master bedroom or master bathroom, and has a large, locker room style lavatory with 5 shower stalls on one side, and 5 toilets on the other side. It's not like any other residence I know.

HOP has operated for 5 or 6 years as an institution in Detroit, with up to 10 residents and they bought a facility in Rose Township last year, where they are also licensed for 10, but they need you to fix it so they can get away with 10 kids per facility in Oxford.

This should not happen. For many reasons, this is a bad idea; for the state to set this precedent of changing a law to benefit a single entity, for the kids to be packed together on a piece of farm land 6 miles from town, away from any jobs or entertainment they may have enjoyed, for the community to have to provide services like police, fire, and road work, that this area rarely requires and local infrastructure isn't used to providing, and for the neighbors who have invested heavily in the surrounding properties, believing their quiet country neighborhood would be maintained as a quiet, low traffic area.

There is already a child caring institution in our town that is not full. There is not a need for this type of facility in Oakland county even, but HOP wanted to move here because Oakland county pays more per child than Wayne county. The only group that would benefit from this amended law, is the House of Providence. Please don't allow this to happen. As I was not able to come there to testify at first reading, I hope you will consider this as you vote Wednesday.

Thank you for your time,
Ginny Benson
3270 Barber Rd
Oxford, MI 48371

Angie Lake

From: wilbert hutchings <wilberthutchings@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 11, 2019 8:36 AM
To: Angie Lake
Subject: Vote no on hb4095

Cherry picking local zoning is silly.

I own 40 acres near the targeted property.

Representative Riley is not doing me the favor he is doing for the Dunn's, increasing usage for increased income.

Vote no

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

Angie Lake

From: Bill Goldsmith <bill@nantucketfunds.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 10, 2019 7:45 PM
To: Rep. James Lower (District 70); Angie Lake; Rep. Kathy Crawford (District 38); Rep. Gary Howell (District 82); Rep. Jim Ellison (District 26); Rep. Padma Kuppa (District 41); Rep. Steve Marino (District 24); Rep. Julie Calley (District 87); Rep. Gary Eisen (District 81); Rep. Luke Meerman (District 88); BradPaquette@house.mi.gov; Rep. William Sowerby (District 31); Rep. Alex Garza (District 12); Rep. Kara Hope (District 67)
Subject: HB 4095 PLEASE VOTE THIS BILL DOWN

Dear Representatives-We all care about children, but many times good intentions end up with the opposite effect. Expanding the housing of foster children on 20 acres or more from six to ten is such a mistake. First of all it's not the size of the acreage that makes a good foster home a good foster, but rather the intimacy and love given to the children. Most natural families have less than six children for many reasons including parents ability to commit enough time in child rearing. Why do schools with smaller classes have better academic and behavioral results and why do parents pay a lot of money to send their children to private or parochial schools with smaller class size? Clearly class size like house size is an important ingredient in successful outcomes. The number six was established for a reason and I see no good reason to increase this number by risking the quality of care and love foster children receive. I do not agree with former Governor Snyder on a lot of issues, but his concern for foster children's well-being was clear in his decision not to sign this bill in last year's session. Please think of the children first!

Respectfully submitted,

William K. M. Goldsmith
70 Nantucket Drive
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48304

Angie Lake

From: James Unis <jdunis60@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 8, 2019 5:08 PM
To: Angie Lake
Subject: Fwd: House Bill 4095

----- Forwarded message -----

From: <jdunis60@gmail.com>
Date: Fri, Mar 8, 2019, 4:44 PM
Subject: House Bill 4095
To: James Unis <jdunis60@gmail.com>

Please vote **NO** on House Bill No. 4095.

We are very concerned citizens of Oxford, Mi. House Bill 4095 would strip local authority on land use in our community and local government.

We are contiguous land owners to the 118 acres House of Providence owns on Barber Rd. and Davison Lk. Rd. Oxford Twp.

The problem with this, 118 acres is, this land was formally a Hunt Club from 1988 to 2003. They used only lead shot. Before that the landowner had a large dog kennel and trained dogs for hunting. Again using lead shot, from 1973 to 1983. This adds up to 25 years of lead being spread over the entire 118 acres. Having children living and playing on lead contaminated-ground is unacceptable. After the Flint disaster who would even think about it. We have a 2004 DEQ report, detailing the contamination and high levels of lead.

We are adamantly **OPPOSED** to House Bill 4095. It is not the size of property which should dictate the density of residents allowed in a facility, it is the current housing density, lack of access to paved roads, municipal water and sewer which should dictate the density allowed. In winter and spring roads can be impassable for days or weeks at a time.

There is a big difference between an institution and a single-family residence where a family cares for foster children. Any non-owner-occupied building that accepts money paid at an institutional rate to care for residents, where paid staff are the caregivers is an institution. A private home where a family takes in foster children is completely different than an operation that is paid to care for the residents. Only private homes who take in 6 or fewer foster children should be exempt.

Preservation of Agriculture and Open Space has been identified by both the Legislature and the residents of Michigan as being of critical importance. Legislation as proposed here is conflicting use which will greatly harm these areas and lead to further increasing sprawl and increasing loss of the beautiful countryside and agricultural areas. We want to stress that areas where parcel sizes are 20 acres or larger are extremely low- density single-family residence.

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF OXFORD PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING
THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2018

A Regular meeting of the Charter Township of Oxford Planning Commission was held Thursday, September 13, 2018, at the Charter Township of Oxford Hall Meeting Room, 300 Dunlap Road, Oxford, Michigan 48371.

Members Present: Berger, Curtis, Hunwick, Roesner-Meyers, Young

Members Absent: Nold, Spisz (both excused)

Also Present: Engineer Sharpe, Planner Carlson, Recording Secretary Cushing, OCTV camera person, the applicants, 31 audience members

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Young at 7:00 p.m.

RESPECTS TO THE FLAG

NOTING OF ROLL

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Commissioner Curtis moved, Commissioner Berger seconded, to approve the Charter Township of Oxford Planning Commission regular meeting agenda for Thursday, September 13, 2018.

Ayes: 5 Nays: 0 Absent: 2 Motion Carried.

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

Planning Commission Regular Meeting – August 23, 2018

Commissioner Curtis moved, Commissioner Hunwick seconded, to approve the Regular Planning Commission Meeting minutes for August 23, 2018.

Ayes: 5 Nays: 0 Absent: 2 Motion Carried.

PUBLIC COMMENTS ON ITEMS NOT SCHEDULED FOR PUBLIC HEARING OR ON AGENDA

Larry Roesner, 1830 Cobblestone Lane, questioned why the public had to inspect documents in the Building Department and copies of the Planning Commission packet were not readily available to take. He provided an example of Addison Township notice.

Joshua Findlay, 1862 N. Baldwin Road, voiced his concern regarding not being able to place a secondary driveway off of Bull Run (Elkview Estate).

COMMISSIONERS' COMMENTS

Commissioner Roesner-Meyers stated her disapproval regarding not receiving her packet in a timely manner. She felt it affected her ability to prepare.

PUBLIC HEARING

Purpose of this hearing is to hear comments regarding the proposed amendments to Zoning Ordinance 67A, regarding Article 3 Zoning District Regulations 3.5 Schedule of Use Regulations: AG, Agriculture, SF-3 (Suburban Farm) and SF-2 (Suburban Farm)

Commissioner Berger moved, Commissioner Roesner-Meyers seconded to open the public hearing at 7:14 p.m.

CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF OXFORD PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING
THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2018

Ayes: 5 Nays: 0 Absent: 2 Motion Carried

The following individuals offered their support either verbally, via letter, via e-mail, or by standing in support (approximately 18 people) that as landowners and persons with an interest in the hunt country area of the Township of Oxford they would like to see the aesthetics of the area retained. They supported the view that the Master Plan is the "bible" for the township and should be regarded as a statement of planning policy and the zoning should be used to assist in implementing that policy. A document was presented for recommendation outlining the removal of the current language and provided alternative language, including definitions that they felt must be added. They further stated that the current and proposed language neither promoted nor protected the unique physical characteristics of the hunt country.

- Kathy Warfield (1220 E. Davison Lake Road)
- Paul Warfield (1220 E. Davison Lake Road)
- Jim Unis (3575 Gardner Road)
- Diane Kangas (5895 Hempstead Road, Metamora-Group of Land Trail Preservation)
- Larry Roesner (1830 Cobblestone Road)
- Marjorie Moore (3463 Barber Road), via letter
- Ginny Benson (3270 Barber Road)
- Evan Moore (3182 Jonathan Road, Metamora)
- Margaret Culver (3385 Delano Road)
- Barbara Blanock (3601 Barber Road)
- Alan and Linda Kent (1524 E. Oakwood Road)
- Bruce Meyers (3100 Delano Road), via e-mail

Commissioner Curtis moved, Commissioner Berger seconded to close the public hearing at 7:52 p.m.

Ayes: 5 Nays: 0 Absent: 2 Motion Carried.

Following discussion, the Ordinance Review Committee will review the recommendations and seek legal counsel's input.

Chairperson Young called for a 5 minute recess and reconvened at 8:21 p.m.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

None

NEW BUSINESS

FINAL SITE PLAN – Grace Premier Senior Living – Applicant Conscious Senior Living Properties, II, 398 Wynstone Circle South, Oakland Township, MI 48363 – Property Owner Keith Ferguson, 545 Manitou Land, Lake Orion, MI 48362; Proposed to construct senior residential care community at a site located in Lake Orion Township as well as Oxford Township. The site has a street address of 985 N. Lapeer Road, Lake Orion, MI and the vacant land in Oxford Township is Parcel Id #04-35-300-015 zoned R-2, Single Family Residential.

The applicant proposes to construct a sixty-three (63) bed assisted living and memory care facility on the west of M-24 at Manitou Lane, immediately north of the Oxford/Orion Township line. As proposed, the building will straddle the Township line and occupy both Oxford and Orion Township parcels. The total site area is 9.09 acres, with the Oxford site providing 4.45 of those acres. The applicant and Planning Commission are only addressing the Oxford property.

CHARTER TOWNSHIP OF OXFORD PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING
THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 13, 2018

Commissioner Curtis moved, Commissioner Hunwick seconded that the Planning Commission approve the Final Site Plan for Grace Premier Senior Living, applicant Conscious Senior Living Properties, II, 398 Wynstone Circle South, Oakland Township, MI 48363, property owner Keith Ferguson, 545 Manitou Lane, Lake Orion, MI 48362. The site has a street address of 985 N. Lapeer Road, Lake Orion, MI, and the vacant land in Oxford Township parcel id #04-35-300-015 with the following conditions:

1. Approval of the Inter Local Agreement between the Charter Township of Oxford and the Charter Township of Orion by the Township attorney;
2. Approval of the sign changes;
3. Approval of the revised private road easement by the Township attorney;
4. Approval of the reconstruction of Manitou Lane easement from the shared interest property owners;
5. Easement for the water main which will be installed on the Oxford Township portion;
6. Approval of the Maintenance Agreement by the Township attorney.

Ayes: Curtis, Hunwick, Young
 Nays: Berger, Roesner-Meyers
 Absent: Nold, Spisz
 Motion Carried.

COMMUNICATIONS AND/OR COMMITTEE REPORTS

Economic Development Committee – A meeting date is set for October 3, 2018.

Ordinance Review Committee – An update was provided.

PLANNER/ENGINEER REPORTS

Planner – Carlisle/Wortman – No update

Engineer – Sharpe Engineering – No update

ADJOURNMENT

Chairperson Young adjourned the meeting at 9:29 p.m.

 Michael Young, Chairman

 Ed Hunwick, Secretary

Date approved: December 13, 2018
 dkc

Angie Lake

From: K. Warfield <kwarfield57@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, March 8, 2019 4:59 PM
To: Angie Lake
Subject: Please present a copy of this letter to Local Government and Municipal Finance on March 13, 2019. Thank you.
Attachments: 9-13-18- Planning Commission Meeting Minutes.pdf

PLEASE VOTE NO ON HOUSE BILL# 4095.

We are very concerned citizens of Oxford, MI.

House Bill No. 4095 would strip local authority on land use in our community and local governments.

My husband and I are concerned citizens of Oxford. As we understand you are going to vote on HB4095. Institutionalized warehousing of dozens of children in a single setting is not only less than ideal, increased institutionalized child care is not appropriate for a rural area. This is a farming community with narrow dirt roads and limited resources. The residents choose to live here for that particular reason.

We are adamantly OPPOSED to House Bill 4095. The Legislative Analysis that states that "This bill would have no discernible fiscal impact on state or local units of government" could not be further from the truth and has not been thought out.

It is not the size of property which should dictate the density of residents allowed in a facility, it is the current housing density, direct access to paved roads and direct access to municipal water and sewer which should dictate the density allowed. In the winter roads can be impassable for several weeks at a time. This has happened before.

There is a big difference between an Institution and a single-family residence where a family cares for foster children. Any non-owner-occupied building that accepts money paid at an institutional rate to care for residents where paid or volunteer staff are the caregivers is an institution. A private home where a family takes in foster children is completely different than an operation that is paid to care for the residents. Only private homes who take in 6 or fewer foster children should be exempt. Any operation where payment is accepted at an institutional rate should be subject to all local zoning.

Preservation of Agriculture and Open Space has been identified by both the Legislature and the residents of Michigan as being of critical importance. Legislation as proposed here is conflicting use which will greatly harm these areas and lead to further increasing sprawl and increasing loss of the beautiful countryside and agricultural areas.

We would like to stress that areas where parcel sizes are 20 acres or larger are extremely low density single-family residential farmsteads and are negatively impacted when conflicting land uses such as these are allowed. People chose to live in remote areas which lack infrastructure because they value the open space and agricultural activities that take place, including a thriving equestrian community in our area. The addition of more residents in this area greatly increases traffic on roads that are gravel, in deplorable condition and cannot handle any further increase in use. It results in further cutting off of trails and open space and a reduction of value as agricultural property.

The increased traffic that comes with increasing the density of these residential facilities has very severe negative impacts on agriculture and equestrian use and creates a dangerous situation with an increase of drivers who are unfamiliar with the roads.

These rural and agricultural areas have poor infrastructure and are the worst possible locations for residential facilities of this size. We lack internet, many areas lack natural gas, there is no municipal water or sewer and drinking water safety and environmental contamination and known problems in agricultural areas due to past use of chemicals and petroleum products.

These activities also financially burden the rural and agricultural local communities as it increases the costs because of increased services needed and it is magnified as in most cases tax revenue is lost and therefore there is not only a loss of the revenue which would have come from the property, there is an increased cost to provide services (police, fire, busing, education, EMS, etc.) and these rural and agricultural communities are the least equipped to absorb these costs. **Multiple Child Care Institutions (CCI) on 118 acres would uproot these children from their families, neighborhoods, and put them in a very unsafe environment contaminated with lead that will impact their lives forever with everlasting neurological deficits. In addition this bill is being pushed through because of a relationship with House of Providence (HOP). And Representative John Reilly. This same Representative refuses to speak to the citizens of this community at our local library or here in Lansing.**

The land that was purchased by the House of Providence (HOP) which was a former hunt club property that had significant lead shotgun shells expended for decades. There was an Environmental impact study done (hundreds of pages) which showed many dangerous levels of lead on the 118 acres and the lead has not been remediated. Before HOP purchased the property several buyers declined because of the lead contamination because they did not want an impact to their children, their farm animals or themselves. The MDEQ continues to state and provide record to the citizens and the Township that the lead level are above very dangerous levels and that Jason and Maggie Dunn have not remediated the lead on the property and are in fact not cooperating with the MDEQ. The MDEQ and Oakland County Health Department are holding a special meeting at the Oxford Township Hall regarding this Dangerous Lead Issue on April 9, 2019 to inform the citizens of the dangerous lead levels. Lead contamination is not safe for exposure to children or adults or animals. Don't forget the lead problems in Flint and that the Detroit Public Schools are on bottled water. Also the video that was shown to the committee in January- the children are on the most contaminated site on the HOP property.

We strongly urge you to reject HB4095. There are many other citizens in this community who are against this bill also. We all feel we are not being heard.

Sincerely,

Paul and Kathleen Warfield

1220 E. Davison Lake Rd.

Metamora, MI 48455

Angie Lake

From: Tammy Perry <tammy_perry@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2019 4:16 PM
To: Rep. Mike Mueller (District 51)
Cc: Angie Lake
Subject: Hello Mr Muller,

Hello Mr Muller,

My name is Tammy Perry and I am writing this note to express my concerns HB 4095 that increases the occupancy limit for a child care institution from 6 to 10 and located on a minimum parcel size of 20 acres. This facilitates organizations to place these institutions in rural areas that have Families. I live across the street from this facility and we constantly have a ton of traffic, police have been called on numerous occasions and we are a residential road. If this HB 4095 passes there could be up 100 children being housed across the street from my family and my neighbors. With no security or fencing. I believe organizations are needed to help children but not in our R1A neighborhoods. They need to be zoned commercial properties.

This bill would allow organizations to purchase large parcels with the intent to divide their acreage into numerous 20 acre parcels and house occupants far above the proposed limit of 10 in a particular community.

Governor Snyder vetoed a similar bill last year on the basis that increasing the occupancy limit above 6 or fewer only serves to dilute the caring attention each foster child deserves.

Please amend the bill to limit the occupancy to 6 or fewer and to prohibit the circumvention of the limit by subdividing larger acreages into various 20 acre parcels.

Thank you for considering my concern.

Tammy Perry
11500 Terrace Rd
Holly Mich 48442

Sent from my iPhone

Angie Lake

From: Tammy Perry <tammy_perry@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2019 4:22 PM
To: Angie Lake
Subject: HB

To Committee Clerk,

Please have my correspondence entered into the office show committee record in regards to HB 4095

My name is Tammy Perry and I am writing this note to express my concerns HB 4095 that increases the occupancy limit for a child care institution from 6 to 10 and located on a minimum parcel size of 20 acres. This facilitates organizations to place these institutions in rural areas that have Families. I live across the street from this facility and we constantly have a ton of traffic, police have been called on numerous occasions and we are a residential road. If this HB 4095 passes there could be up 100 children being housed across the street from my family and my neighbors. With no security or fencing. I believe organizations are needed to help children but not in our R1A neighborhoods. They need to be zoned commercial properties.

This bill would allow organizations to purchase large parcels with the intent to divide their acreage into numerous 20 acre parcels and house occupants far above the proposed limit of 10 in a particular community.

Governor Snyder vetoed a similar bill last year on the basis that increasing the occupancy limit above 6 or fewer only serves to dilute the caring attention each foster child deserves.

Please amend the bill to limit the occupancy to 6 or fewer and to prohibit the circumvention of the limit by subdividing larger acreages into various 20 acre parcels.

Thank you for considering my concern.

Tammy Perry
11500 Terrace Rd
Holly Mich 48442

Sent from my iPhone

Angie Lake

From: John R. Baker <w1rejr@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2019 4:35 PM
To: Rep. James Lower (District 70); Angie Lake; Rep. Kathy Crawford (District 38); Rep. Gary Howell (District 82); Rep. Jim Ellison (District 26); Rep. Padma Kuppa (District 41); Rep. Steve Marino (District 24); Rep. Julie Calley (District 87); Rep. Gary Eisen (District 81); Rep. Luke Meerman (District 88); BradPaquette@house.mi.gov; Rep. William Sowerby (District 31); Rep. Alex Garza (District 12); Rep. Kara Hope (District 67)
Subject: HB 4095 PLEASE VOTE THIS BILL DOWN

Dear Representatives:

We all care about children, but many times good intentions end up with the opposite effect. Expanding the housing of foster children on 20 acres or more from six to ten is such a mistake. For many years Michigan has enforced a 6 child maximum for foster children in a residential home and for good reason – so that the children could obtain the love and fellowship that size family could provide to each of the children.

So please vote against the passage of HB 4095.

Sincerely, John Baker

Angie Lake

From: Harold Pudvay <hpudvay@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2019 7:13 AM
To: Angie Lake; Rep. Mike Mueller (District 51)
Cc: Gabster; Jennifer Pudvay; Rep. Alex Garza (District 12); Rep. Beau LaFave (District 108); Rep. Ben Frederick (District 85); Rep. Brad Paquette (District 78); diarerendon@house.mi.gov; garyelsen@house.mi.gov; Rep. Gary Howell (District 82); guycowing@comcast.net; inajgolden@hotmail.com; Rep. James Lower (District 70); Rep. Jim Ellison (District 26); Rep. John Reilly (District 46); Rep. Julie Alexander (District 64); Rep. Julie Calley (District 87); Rep. Kara Hope (District 67); Rep. Kathy Crawford (District 38); Rep. Luke Meerman (District 88); Rep. Matt Maddock (District 44); michealwebber@house.mi.gov; Rep. Padma Kuppa (District 41); Rep. Sara Cambensy (District 109); Rep. Steve Marino (District 24); Rep. William Sowerby (District 31)
Subject: HB 4095

Dear Representative(s)

It has come to our attention that a bill (HB4095) is being sponsored by yourself and others.

As a constituent I request you remove your endorsement of proposed HB4095. This bill will directly affect my family and our neighbors in a negative fashion.

Would you want these facilities built in your backyard? Without knowing? With your elected officials supporting it with out your consent?

My neighbors and I have worked hard to live in a rural area, as voting taxpayers, we should not have elected officials making decisions without our consent that will negatively affect our homes and property.

Thank you for your support of "We the People...". By removing your endorsement and support from HB4095 you can prove to your constituents that you have our best interests at hand.

Thank you
Harold Pudvay
11650 hidden valley trail
Holly MI 48442
Rose Township

Please enter this in the official committee record.

Angie Lake

From: M. A. W. <m.w244@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2019 8:13 PM
To: Angie Lake
Cc: kcourts@co.genesee.mi.us; fkasle@flinttownship.org; bvert@flinttownship.org; cpfaff-dahl@flinttownship.org
Subject: SPEAKING TO THE COMMITTEE REQUEST REGARDING HB 4095

I REQUEST TO SPEAK TO THE COMMITTEE REGARDING HB 4095; SPECIFICALLY, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, TO URGE

OPPOSITION TO '... increase number of children receiving care at certain child caring institutions. ...'.

AND TO SUPPORT INCREASING THE ENFORCEMENT AND STRENGTHENING THE STATE LEGISLATIVE LANGUAGE THAT WOULD PROTECT HOMEOWNERS FROM THE PREDATORY COMMERCIAL

BUSINESS PRACTICE OF BUYING
A RESIDENTIAL SINGLE FAMILY
ZONED HOME FOR THE
PURPOSE OF A GROUP DAYCARE
FOR CHILDREN IN A RESIDENTIAL
NEIGHBORHOOD. MY
EXPERIENCE IS, AND I'VE
CONSULTED TWO ATTORNEYS,
THAT THE STATE LEGISLATION
REQUIRING RESIDENCY FOR
SUCH IS DEEMED EXPENSIVE FOR
THE NEIGHBOR TO ENFORCE TO
SUCH AN EXTENT THAT THE
CURRENT STATE LAW IS

MEANINGLESS TO THE NEXT
DOOR NEIGHBORS PER THE TWO
ATTORNEYS I CONSULTED THAT
HAVE AN BETTER OPINION THAN
I.

I WOULD LIKE TO SHARE MY
EXPERIENCES WITH MY TOWN'S
PERMITTING APPARATUS.

I BELIEVE MY TOWN HAS
ENABLED THE DESTRUCTION OF
MY HOME'S RESIDENTIAL
PROPERTY VALUES IE EVERYONE
SAYS THEY WOULDN'T WANT TO

MOVE IN NEXT DOOR TO THAT GROUP HOME.

SPEAKING TO THE COMMITTEE REQUEST

AGENDA:

HB 4095 (Rep. Reilly) Land use; zoning and growth management; definition of state licensed residential facility; increase number of children receiving care at certain child caring institutions.

Respectfully submitted by M. W.

*E-Mail: m.w244@yahoo.com

*NOTICE: This email and any attachment documents contain information from Mark A. White. These materials are intended for the use of the addressee above. If you are not the intended recipient or if you have received this email in error, please immediately notify the sender of this message. Thank you.